



**IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL**

Case Nos 1408/7/7/21
1673/7/7/24

B E T W E E N:

ELIZABETH HELEN COLL

the “Coll” Class Representative

- and -

- (1) ALPHABET INC**
- (2) GOOGLE LLC**
- (3) GOOGLE IRELAND LIMITED**
- (4) GOOGLE COMMERCE LIMITED**
- (5) GOOGLE PAYMENT LIMITED**

Defendants

AND BETWEEN:

PROFESSOR BARRY RODGER

the “Rodger” Class Representative

- and -

- (1) ALPHABET INC**
- (2) GOOGLE LLC**
- (3) GOOGLE IRELAND LIMITED**
- (4) GOOGLE ASIA PACIFIC PTE LIMITED**
- (5) GOOGLE COMMERCE LIMITED**
- (6) GOOGLE PAYMENT LIMITED**
- (7) GOOGLE UK LIMITED**

Defendants

CONSENT ORDER

UPON considering Ms Coll's application filed on 10 April 2026 for an order for disclosure from Google (the **Coll Disclosure Application**)

AND UPON considering Google's response to the Coll Disclosure Application dated 24 April 2026

AND UPON considering Ms Coll's letter to the Tribunal dated 1 May 2025 in which Ms Coll confirmed that she no longer seeks disclosure of the Google Witness Statement Disclosure as defined in the Coll Disclosure Application and enclosed a draft Order

AND UPON Ms Coll and Google having agreed to the terms of this Order

IT IS ORDERED BY CONSENT THAT:

Google Android Disclosure

1. Google shall within 30 days of this Order:
 - 1.1 disclose, subject to a review for privilege, the documents referred to in the Google Android Decision set out in the confidential Annex 1 to this Order, to the extent any documents located are not within Google's existing disclosure in these proceedings; and
 - 1.2 provide Ms Coll with the Bates IDs of any documents responsive to the searches referred to in paragraph 1.1 above which are within Google's existing disclosure in these proceedings.

Google Witness Statement Disclosure

2. Ms Coll's application for disclosure of documents requested at paragraph 26 of the Application is withdrawn.

Google UCB Disclosure

3. Google shall within 30 days of this Order disclose, subject to a review for privilege, the document set out in the confidential Annex 2 to this Order, or to the extent the document

is located within Google's existing disclosure in the proceedings, provide Ms Coll with the Bates ID of the document.

General provisions

4. The deadline for disclosure of all documents set out in this Order is subject to paragraphs 21 to 24 of the Tribunal's Joint Confidentiality Ring Order dated 15 May 2025 in these proceedings.
5. Costs in the case.
6. There be liberty to apply.



The Honourable Mrs Justice Bacon

Made: 13 May 2026
Drawn: 13 May 2026

ANNEX 1 – CONFIDENTIAL

Google Android Disclosure

Documents in Commission File in Case AT.40099 – Google Android

This Confidential Annex 1 specifies the disclosure to be provided by Google under paragraph 1 of this Order:

No.	Document
1.	Amazon's non-confidential response to Question 15 of the request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 4067)
2.	Deutsche Telekom's non-confidential response to Question 5 and Question 15 of the request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 2556)
3.	Mozilla's non-confidential response to Question 15 of the request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 3550)
4.	Google's internal document submitted in response to the request for information of 11 July 2014 (Doc ID 1361-1060)
5.	Copy of the data referred to in footnotes 838, 839 and 840 of the Google Android Decision (Doc ID 7866)
6.	Copy of the data referred to in footnotes 838, 839 and 840 of the Google Android Decision (Doc ID 7867)
7.	LG Electronics' non-confidential response to Question 24 of the EC's request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 2377)
8.	Google's response to the complaint by Yandex (Doc ID 2118)
9.	Nokia's non-confidential response to Question 16 of the EC's request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 3991)
10.	Orange's non-confidential response to Question 5 of the EC's request for information of 19 October 2015 to email service providers (Doc ID 4598)
11.	Orange's non-confidential response to Question 12 of the request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 2479)
12.	Samsung's non-confidential response to Question 7 of the EC's request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 2805)
13.	Annex to Google's response to the request for information of 11 July 2014, email discussion between Google Executives, 'Yet another MADA', between 30 April 2013 and 6 June 2013 (Doc ID 1751-818)
14.	Annex 39 to Google's response to the request for information of 11 July 2014 (Doc ID 1271)
15.	Annex Q15-16 to Google's response to the request for information of 24 March 2014 (Doc ID 7894-6)
16.	Non-confidential version of the MADA entered into by Google and [Toshiba Corporation] on 1 February 2014 [(Doc ID 1306-216)]
17.	Non-confidential version of the MADA entered into by Google and [Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co., Ltd] on 1 May 2014 [(Doc ID 1306-209)]
18.	Lenovo's non-confidential response to Question 5 of the request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 2602)
19.	Microsoft's non-confidential response to Question 5 of the request for information of 21 October 2015 on app stores (Doc ID 2493)

20.	SFR's non-confidential response to Question 5 of the request for information of 21 October 2015 (Doc ID 3975)
21.	Google internal email referring to an instance when [Alcatel] wanted to license only the Play Store and the Gmail app (Doc ID 1374-1937)

ANNEX 2 – CONFIDENTIAL

Google UCB Disclosure

This Confidential Annex 2 specifies the disclosure to be provided by Google under paragraph 3 of this Order:

No.	Document
1.	[The document hyper-linked at the word “(Source)” at page 10 of the document disclosed in these proceedings as GOOG-PLAY-UK-000470393 in the text following the second bullet point in response to question 18, under the sub-heading “ <i>User Choice Billing Deep Dive</i> ”.]